

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/448,508	11/24/1999	CONAL P. WALSH	10263STUS01U NORT10-00099	5123
33000 7	7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
DOCKET CLERK			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
P.O. DRAWE	R 800889			<u> </u>
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/448,508	WALSH, CONAL P.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CON roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the status of the same than three months after the main attention of the same than three months after the main attention of the same than three months after the main attention of the same than three months after the main attention of the same than the same t	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTF helow):
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		555 115 12 55.51.),
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i		rially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	in bottor form for appoar by mate	riany readoning or empinying and
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
10. Other:		
	SUPER	RICHÉMÓNÍ DORVIL VISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kosaka teaches using the syllable count to expand or reduce VCV parameters at col. 9, lines 39-40 and col. 21, line 49 continuing to col. 22, line 33...